



Ifw

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.

LAW OFFICES

2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD
SUITE 1400
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22201

I. WILLIAM MILLEN
JOHN L. WHITE
ANTHONY J. ZELANO
JOHN R. MOSES
HARRY B. SHUBIN
BRION P. HEANEY
RICHARD J. TRAVERSO
ALAN E. J. BRANIGAN

PHONE: 703/243-6333
TELECOPIER: 703/243-6410
E-MAIL: MAIL@MWZB.COM

RICHARD M. LEBOVITZ
JOHN A. SOPP
JAMES E. RULAND
JEFFREY R. COHEN
JEFFREY A. SMITH¹
CSABA HENTER¹
SCOTT J. MAJOR
MAUREEN L. DALL
JENNIFER J. BRANIGAN²

July 14, 2004

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Re: Patent Office Communications

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please find enclosed two communications from U.S. Patent and Trademark Office that were forwarded to us by mistake.

Very truly yours,

Crystal French
Crystal French, Legal Assistant

Enclosures
Two U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Communications

:bcf



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED	JUN 28 2004
	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner for Patents MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/132,139	04/25/2002	Timothy S. Steffenhagen	3810.20-1	9360

23599 7590 06/24/2004

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.
SUITE 1400
ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER

NEUDER, WILLIAM P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3672

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

10/132,139

Examiner

William P Neuder

Applicant(s)

STEFFENHAGEN ET AL.


Art Unit

3672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 14 November 2003.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:



William P Neuder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3672

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.